

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/075,990 | YAMAMOTO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Marc M Duncan | 2113 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Marc M Duncan.

(3) _____.

(2) Dan Stanger, Reg. No. 32, 846.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The 102 rejection

Claims discussed:
 11

Prior art documents discussed:
Chen

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested changes to claim language to attempt to move the application towards allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to allow the changes by Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)